



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

TRUSTS—POWER OF APPOINTMENT—EXERCISE.—A certain person being advised that she was to be the beneficiary under a trust to be created, and of which she was to have a power of appointment by will, executed a will disposing of a sum about equal to the principal of the trust. She had no assets of her own either at the time of the execution of the will or at her death. The trust instrument was not, in fact, executed until after the will was made. An action was brought by the trustee to settle his accounts, upon a question of whether the will was an exercise of the power given by the trust instrument. *Held*, the will was a valid exercise of the power of appointment. *Title Guarantee & Trust Co. v. Ebaugh*, 184 N. Y. Supp. 351.

The general rule that a will takes effect at the testator's death is applicable with reference to the execution of a power of appointment. *In re Chapman*, 117 N. Y. Supp. 679, affirmed 196 N. Y. 561, 90 N. E. 1157; *In re Haggerty*, 112 N. Y. Supp. 1017, affirmed 194 N. Y. 550, 87 N. E. 1120.

The exercise of the power is always a question of the donee's intention. *Lee v. Simpson*, 134 U. S. 572; *Bilderback v. Boyce*, 14 S. C. 528. Such intent must appear in the execution of a power. *Payne v. Johnson*, 95 Ky. 175, 24 S. W. 238; *Hill v. Conrad*, 91 Tex. 341, 43 S. W. 789. Yet it need not be express. *Funk v. Eggleston*, 92 Ill. 515; *Patterson v. Wilson*, 64 Md. 195, 1 Atl. 68. But it may be gathered from a specific reference to the property which is the subject of the power. *Hood v. Haden*, 82 Va. 58, 594; *Walke v. Moore*, 95 Va. 729. Or, as it is held by the weight of authority, where, as in the instant case, the donee's act would be ineffectual unless considered as an exercise of the power. *Warner v. Connecticut, etc., Co.*, 109 U. S. 357; *Bullerdick v. Wright*, 148 Ind. 477, 47 N. E. 931.

For the statutory provision in Virginia on this point, see Va. Code, 1919, § 5241.